



Research Poster Award Criteria

Area	Criteria	Rating					Comments	Score Awarded
		1 Not acceptable	2 Minimal	3 Satisfactory/ Average	4 Well done	5 Exceptional		
1. Background and Context	1. Current literature on topic of inquiry presented	No literature review included	Mention of literature with no references cited	Current literature referenced with citations	Relationship between research project and current literature identified	Relationship between research project and current literature identified and references provided.		
	1.2 The importance of the research is articulated through logical rationale	Rationale not included	Background & rationale included, but is not clear, and/or very minimal	Background & rationale are included and satisfactorily written	Background & rationale are clearly stated and fairly comprehensive	Background & rationale are clearly stated, comprehensive, and meaningful/relevant to northern BC.		
2. Methodology	2.1 Description of methodology	Methodology not described	Brief description of methodology provided	Satisfactory description of methodology	Clear description of methodology and rational for choice	Clear description of methodology; rational for choice; evaluation of method		
	2.2 Appropriateness of methodology	Paradigm not appropriate to the research question (Qualitative/Quantitative)	Appropriate paradigm- Qualitative/Quantitative-but methodology weakly exploring variables/concepts	Appropriate methodology for research question	Appropriate methodology for research question; full exploration of all variables/concepts	Appropriate research methodology and recommendations for methodological improvements for future research		
	2.3 Analysis of results	No results shared or dubious analysis methodology	Minimal analysis provided	Satisfactory analysis of results	Analysis of results; explanation of analysis methodology	Analysis of results; explanation of analysis methodology; future recommendations		
							subtotal	

Area	Criteria	Rating					Comments	Score Awarded
		1 Not acceptable	2 Minimal	3 Satisfactory/ Average	4 Well done	5 Exceptional		
3. Conclusions and Implications (So What?)	3.1 Significance of research is clearly demonstrated	Significance of research not identified	Significance of research findings mentioned	Significance of research findings identified; relationship to current literature articulated	Significance of research findings identified; relationship to current literature and Canadian context articulated	Significance of research findings identified; relationship to current literature, a Canadian context and their local (e.g. northern BC) articulated		
	3.2 Knowledge Translation	No knowledge translation plan	Minimal communication strategy for knowledge translation plan	Knowledge translation plan identified	Knowledge translation plan involves multiple methods/strategies to support evidence to action	Knowledge translation plan involves multiple methods/strategies to support evidence to action including identification of key knowledge users		
4 Visual Presentation	4.1 Layout & design is well organized and neat and communicates a coherent and effective message. Visually appealing.	Poster is very difficult to read and understand	Poster is somewhat difficult to read/ understand	Poster layout and readability is satisfactory	Poster is visually appealing, well organized and readable	Exceptional layout, design and readability; visually appealing poster		
							TOTAL	/40

8 criteria

Each with a maximum score of 5

Maximum total score=40